

1161 MISSION STREET, OFFICE #563 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103 P 415.579.1778

# **TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM**

Balboa Reservoir Supplemental EIR

Responses to Comments Supplementary Memorandum Transit Delay Analysis and Capital Improvements

| Date: | April 10, 2020                        |
|-------|---------------------------------------|
| To:   | Wade Wietgrefe, Liz White             |
| From: | Mike Alston, RSP & Amanda Leahy, AICP |
| cc:   | Jeanie Poling                         |

### PURPOSE OF MEMORANDUM

This technical memorandum (memo) identifies and analyzes existing sources of transit delay to the 29 Sunset, K/T Third/Ingleside, and 43 Masonic Muni lines in the Balboa Reservoir project study area, and then recommends offsite capital improvements to reduce transit travel times. The results of this analysis further refine and inform Balboa Reservoir Draft Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (DSEIR)'s **Mitigation Measure M-C-TR-4. Monitor Cumulative Transit Travel Times and Implement Measures To Reduce Transit Delay**.

This memo is organized as follows:

- Background
- Analysis Approach
- Findings
- Recommended Improvements
- Secondary Effects of Implementing Improvements

## BACKGROUND

The DSEIR presented an analysis of transit delay under existing plus project conditions and under 2040 cumulative conditions. The impacts were determined to be less than significant under existing plus project conditions and significant and unavoidable under 2040 cumulative conditions, with the proposed project contributing considerably. The project would make a considerable contribution, defined as two

**Commented [EW1]:** Global comment: Please check the spacing on the footnotes for consistency. or more minutes, to cumulative transit delay to the K/T Third/Ingleside; 29 Sunset; and the 43 Masonic Muni lines.<sup>1,2</sup>

### ANALYSIS APPROACH

This analysis consists of both quantitative and qualitative approaches to identify and analyze sources of transit delay and quantify how implementation of capital improvements would reduce transit travel times. **Figure 1** presents the study area as it relates to these approaches.

### Analysis Approach for K/T Third/Ingleside and 29 Sunset

The analysis approach compares transit travel times during the peak period and nighttime off-peak period. Transit travel times are typically slowest during the p.m. peak period as travel times are, greatly influenced by vehicle congestion. Off-peak nighttime travel times represent conditions in which transit vehicles do not experience the typical delays related to vehicle congestion in the p.m. peak period. As a result, the off-peak nighttime travel times are a-comparedison to the p.m. peak period travel times to calculate the differences between individual segments of delay within the study area, and to identify the delay sources for those segments.

Identifying the specific locations, causes, and amount of delay along a transit route provides the ability to estimate delay reductions from capital improvements. In contrast, the data collected for the DSEIR analysis includes existing K/T travel times for the full segment along Ocean Avenue between Jules Avenue and Balboa Park BART, but not for points and subdivided segments along the corridor.

The analysis was conducted for the routes along the following segments:

- K/T Third/Ingleside: Jules Avenue/Ocean Avenue to Balboa Park Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART);
- K/T Third/Ingleside: San Jose Avenue/Geneva Avenue to Dorado Terrace/Ocean Avenue;
- 29 Sunset: Plymouth Avenue/Ocean Avenue to Mission Street/Persia Avenue; and
- 29 Sunset: Mission Street/Persia Avenue to Plymouth Avenue/Ocean Avenue.

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The 8 Bayshore and 8BX Bayshore B Express series buses also travel through the study area; however, as identified in the DSEIR, the proposed project would not considerably contribute to cumulative transit delay on these routes. The 91 Third Street/19th Avenue Owl and K Owl also travels through the corridor but is an overnight only route and is not included in the analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Responses to Comments (RTC) document revised the draft SEIR analysis and Mitigation Measure M-C-TR-4, which\* incorrectly identified that the proposed project would have a considerable contribution to cumulative transit delay on the 49 Van Ness/Mission line.

Balboa Reservoir Supplemental EIR March 27, 2020

Figure 1: Transit Delay Analysis and Capital Improvements Study Area

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

San Francisco, California

Page 3

Field data collection was collected to identify the following:

- Sources of transit delay along the corridor (location and descriptive cause);
- Delay values associated with given locations and bus actions (corridor delay, transit reentry delay, or passenger boarding delay); and
- Qualitative observations of conditions at potential improvement locations.

Based on the<u>se</u> data and observations, capital improvements are then recommended to improve transit operations at a fixed point along a service segment (i.e., an intersection and approach direction), with benefits accruing to the segment's travel time.

#### Analysis Approach for 43 Masonic

The analysis for the 43 Masonic supplements the Synchro corridor analysis from the DSEIR and utilizes the traffic counts and future traffic volumes from the DSEIR to calculate delay to the line at the Ocean Avenue/Frida Kahlo Way/Geneva Avenue intersection.<sup>3</sup> The delay associated with the inbound 43 Masonic (i.e., going towards Balboa Park BART station) primarily comes from the signal at Frida Kahlo Way/Geneva Avenue. The delay experienced at this intersection is primarily associated with vehicle congestion. This is different than the delay on Ocean Avenue, which can be attributed to other factors, such as left or right turning vehicles.

As a result, no additional data collection was needed to calculate transit delay because the supplemental Synchro analysis allows the disaggregation of corridor travel time to identify the amount of delay attributable to the specific transit movement at the intersection versus along the segment as a whole.

### DATA COLLECTION

The field data collection occurred in two phases to achieve a disaggregate analysis of travel times and delays. The p.m. peak period data collection and observations yielded travel times along and through fixed segments of the transit routes, along with descriptions of operational events at each location. The p.m. peak period data collection was conducted from 5-7 p.m. on Tuesday, December 17, 2019; Wednesday, December 18, 2019; and Thursday, January 16, 2020. The off-peak travel time runs provided a baseline travel time along the lines as well as times along and through the same fixed segments

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As part of the DSEIR analysis, transit corridor delay was quantified using Trafficware's Synchro modeling software arterial/corridor delay reports to calculate traffic congestion delays along corridors served by transit. Intersection operations analysis was performed using Synchro software and conducted using the SF Planning Department's *Guidelines for Synchro Intersection LOS Analysis*. Intersection operations were analyzed for Existing Conditions, Existing plus Developer's Proposed Option (which includes reassigned parking trips), and Existing plus Additional Housing Option (does not include reassigned parking trips) for the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

observed in the p.m. peak period collection. The off-peak travel time runs occurred between 8 p.m. and 11:59 p.m. on Thursday, January 9, 2020, and Thursday, January 23, 2020.<sup>4,5</sup>

#### P.M. Peak Period Delay Collection and Observation

Travel time data were collected and qualitative observations recorded at the following locations from a fixed vantage point<sup>6</sup>:

- Ocean Avenue and Brighton Avenue (eastbound and westbound)<sup>7</sup>;
- Ocean Avenue and Plymouth Avenue (eastbound and westbound)<sup>7</sup>; and
- Ocean Avenue and Frida Kahlo Avenue (eastbound and westbound)<sup>8</sup>.

At each intersection, both segment travel time and intersection travel time were calculated, both of which are defined below. The number of observations used to establish the averages is provided in each table with the discussion of findings.

Segment travel time is defined as the time required for the transit vehicle to travel from the previous intersection to the subject intersection. The recorded time began when the front of the vehicle cleared the previous intersection and ended when:

- The vehicle stopped at a red light or entered the back of a queue at the light; or
- The front of the vehicle entered the intersection during a green light; or
- The vehicle was within a car length of the back of a queue at the intersection.

Intersection travel time is defined as the time required for the transit vehicle to pass through an intersection. The recorded time began when:

- The vehicle stopped at a red light or entered the back of a queue at the light; or
- The front of the vehicle entered the intersection during a green light; or

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

San Francisco, California

Commented [EW2]: Double check footnote numbering.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> City College was in regular session during all p.m. peak period data collection (December 17, <u>2019</u>, December 18, <u>2019</u>, <u>and</u> January 16, <u>2020</u>). City College was in regular session during January 23, 2020, off-peak (8-11 p.m.) data collection but not during January 9, <u>2020</u> off-peak data collection. As explained, off-peak travel time runs were compared to historical data to check that they were representative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Field data were collected during typical conditions (i.e., no events, disruptions, or inclement weather).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> These data collection locations were identified in coordination with the SFMTA.

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$ \_This intersection was observed from public space in front of the McDonald's on the south side of Ocean Avenue between Plymouth and Brighton avenues.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This intersection was observed from an elevated vantage point on the Ocean Avenue pedestrian bridge.

• The recorded time ended when the front of the transit vehicle cleared the intersection.

### **Off-Peak Travel Nighttime Time Runs**

Kittelson conducted onboard travel time runs (as compared to the fixed vantage point data collection during the p.m. period) on the studied segments to establish baseline times in calculating p.m. peak period delay.<sup>9</sup> These segments included:

- K/T Third/Ingleside
  - o Eastbound, Ocean Avenue/Miramar Avenue to Balboa Park BART
  - o Westbound, Balboa Park BART to Ocean Avenue/Miramar Avenue
- 29 Sunset
  - Eastbound, Plymouth Avenue/Ocean Avenue to Ocean Avenue/Howth Street
  - $\circ$   $\:$  Westbound, Ocean Avenue/I-280 on ramp to Plymouth Avenue/ Ocean Avenue

## FINDINGS

### Ocean Avenue/Brighton Avenue

#### Eastbound

 Table 1 provides average observed travel times at Ocean and Brighton avenues in the eastbound direction for the K/T Third/Ingleside- and the 29 Sunset.

<sup>9</sup> To ensure these travel times were representative of typical off-peak nighttime conditions, Kittelson compared the stopto-stop travel times to historical SFMTA travel time data as a cross-check. The SFMTA maintains an internal database of historical travel times; those data points are aggregated as historical travel time between stops. SFMTA provided historical weeknight travel times as a point of reference. The SFMTA data provided includes median and 90<sup>th</sup> percentile historical travel times between stops. The historical travel time data is included in Appendix D.

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

#### Table 1:Transit Travel Time Delays Eastbound at Ocean Avenue/Brighton Avenue

| Route/Location                                | Off-Peak Nighttime<br>Average Travel Time,<br>Seconds (number of<br>observations) | Peak Average Travel<br>Time, Seconds<br>(number of<br>observations) | Difference seconds |
|---|---|---|--------------------|
| K/T Third/Ingleside                           |   |   | <u>s</u>           |
| Segment travel time:<br>Plymouth to Brighton  | 14  | 14  | 0                  |
| Intersection travel<br>time: through Brighton | 4   | 29  | 26                 |
| 29 Sunset                                     | 1   |   |                    |
| Segment travel time:<br>Plymouth to Brighton  | 13  | 17  | 4                  |
| Intersection travel<br>time: through Brighton | 12  | 28  | 16                 |

Note: Averages based on four K/T off-peak period observations, three 29 <u>Sunset</u> off-peak period observations, 16 peak period K/T observations, and 10 peak period 29 <u>Sunset</u> observations.

Source: Kittelson, 2020.

Most differences in delay at this location were associated with the intersection: an average of 26 and 16 seconds for the K/T Third/Ingleside –and 29 Sunset, respectively. The following observations provide context for peak period travel times at this location in the eastbound direction:

- The segment travel times were relatively similar between peak and off-peak periods, indicating that
  delay is mostly related to signal timing and intersection queueing rather than conditions along the
  corridor that would slow transit along the segment.
- The K/T travels in the center-running track lane and is sometimes delayed by left-turning vehicles. The train was frequently observed to be stuck behind left-turning vehicles, at times resulting in missing a green light and incurring additional delay from the red signal.
- The K/T routinely stopped at red lights in the p.m. peak period. In the off-peak period, the line was not observed to experience any travel time delay due to red lights.
- The 29 has the flexibility to operate in either lane and was generally observed in the right travel lane and does not wait directly behind left-turning vehicles (it must be in the right lane traveling through the intersection to be aligned to serve the next passenger stop). However, one observation noted that queuing from a left-turning vehicle affected both lanes and contributed to delay for the 29.
- The 29 was frequently stopped at red lights during the peak period.
- With the center-running track lane serving left-turning vehicles, the right lane serves the 29, a
  majority of through vehicles, and right-turning vehicles. Drivers turning right must yield to
  pedestrian movements at the parallel crosswalk, delaying through vehicles behind right-turning
  vehicles.

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

San Francisco, California

Page 7

In the eastbound direction, much of the delay to the K/T Line and a portion of the delay to the 29 can be attributed to left-turning vehicle delay. This includes frequently waiting behind left-turning vehicles searching for a gap in oncoming traffic.

#### Westbound

**Table 2** provides average observed travel times on Ocean Avenue approaching and through BrightonAvenue in the westbound direction for the K/T Third/Ingleside- and the 29 Sunset.

### Table 2:Transit Travel Time Delays Westbound at Ocean Avenue/Brighton Avenue

| Route/Location                             | Off-Peak Nighttime<br>Average Travel Time<br>(seconds) | Peak Average Travel<br>Time (seconds) | Difference in seconds |  |  |  |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| K/T Third/Ingleside                        |  |                                       |                       |  |  |  |
| Segment travel time:<br>Lee to Brighton    | 8  | 15                                    | 7                     |  |  |  |
| Intersection travel time: through Brighton | 3  | 23                                    | 20                    |  |  |  |
| 29 Sunset                                  | 29 Sunset  |                                       |                       |  |  |  |
| Segment travel time:<br>Lee to Brighton    | 8  | 19                                    | 11                    |  |  |  |
| Intersection travel time: through Brighton | 2  | 32                                    | 30                    |  |  |  |

Note: Averages based on two K/T off-peak period observations, two 29 <u>Sunset</u> off-peak period observations, five peak period K/T observations, and seven peak period 29 <u>Sunset</u> observations.

Source: Kittelson, 2020.

Most differences in delay were associated with the intersection: an average of 20 and 30 seconds for the K/T Third/Ingleside -and 29 Sunset, respectively. The following observations provide context for the peak period travel times at this location in the westbound direction:

- The segment travel times almost doubled for the K/T and more than doubled for the 29 but represent <u>a</u>small portion of each line's travel time compared to the time through the intersection. The differences indicate that p.m. peak period congestion levels affect operating speed through the corridor in the westbound direction.
- The K/T travels in the center-running track lane and is sometimes delayed by left-turning vehicles. The train was not observed to be stuck behind left-turning vehicles such that the train would miss a green light and incur additional delay from the red signal, as was observed in the eastbound direction. However, left turns do contribute to approach delay in this direction, and the K/T was frequently observed to experience delay at red lights in this direction. The p.m. peak hour turning movement counts collected for the project show 122 left-turning vehicles in the p.m. peak hour (see Appendix A); observations indicate that most left-turning drivers must wait until the end of the permissive green phase to turn left.

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

#### Balboa Reservoir Supplemental EIR March 27, 2020

- The 29 operates in the right travel lane and does not wait directly behind left-turning vehicles. The left-turning delay has less direct influence on 29 operations.
- The 29 was frequently stopped at red lights during the peak period.
- With the center-running track lane serving left-turning vehicles, the right lane serves the 29, and
  right-turning vehicles, as well as observed to serve the majority of through vehicles. Drivers turning
  right must yield to pedestrian movements at the parallel crosswalk, potentially delaying through
  vehicles behind right-turning vehicles.

Ocean Avenue/Plymouth Avenue

#### Eastbound

**Table 3** provides average observed travel times at Ocean and Plymouth avenues in the eastbound direction for the K/T Third/Ingleside.

#### Table 3: Transit Travel Time Delays Eastbound at Ocean Avenue/Plymouth Avenue

| Location                                      | Off-Peak Nighttime<br>Average Travel Time<br>(seconds) | Peak Average Travel<br>Time (seconds) | Difference in seconds |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| K/T Third/Ingleside                           |  |                                       |                       |
| Segment travel time:<br>Miramar to Plymouth   | 16   | Not recorded <sup>1</sup>             | -                     |
| Intersection travel<br>time: through Plymouth | 13   | 27                                    | 14                    |

<sup>1</sup>.Because of limitations from a fixed vantage point, observed p.m. peak period segment travel time was noted between Granada and Plymouth avenues, whereas off-peak period observations noted time between Miramar and Plymouth avenues. Comparison of the two entries would not be commensurate.

Note: Averages based on four K/T off-peak period observations and seven peak period K/T observations. Source: Kittelson, 2020.

The average p.m. peak hour travel time delay through the intersection was 14 seconds compared to offpeak nighttime conditions. The following observations provide context for peak period travel times:

- The K/T was observed to sit at a red light in almost all p.m. peak hour observations, including as a
  result of waiting behind a left-turning vehicle and subsequently missing a green phase. <u>Similar to
  the Like at the Brighton location</u>, the K/T travels in the center-running track lane and is sometimes
  delayed by left-turning vehicles.
- The 29 turns right from Plymouth Avenue onto Ocean Avenue at this intersection and does not travel eastbound through the intersection.

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

#### Westbound

 Table 4 provides average observed travel times at Ocean and Plymouth avenues in the westbound direction for the K/T Third/Ingleside -and the 29 Sunset.

| Table 4: Transit Travel Time Delays Westbound at Ocean Avenue/Plymouth Av | enue |
|---|------|
|---|------|

| Location                                      | Off-Peak Nighttime Average<br>Travel Time (seconds) | Peak Average Travel<br>Time (seconds) | Difference in<br>seconds |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| K/T Third/Ingleside                           |   |                                       | о.                       |
| Segment travel time:<br>Brighton to Plymouth  | 7   | 11                                    | 4                        |
| Intersection travel time:<br>through Plymouth | 4   | 29                                    | 25                       |
| 29 Sunset                                     |   |                                       |                          |
| Segment travel time:<br>Brighton to Plymouth  | 5   | 9                                     | 4                        |
| Intersection travel time:<br>through Plymouth | 3   | 33                                    | 30                       |

Note: Averages based on two K/T off-peak period observations, three off-peak period 29 <u>Sunset</u> observations, six peak period K/T observations, and 12 peak period 29 <u>Sunset</u> observations.

Source: Kittelson, 2020.

The average p.m. peak hour travel time delay through the intersection was 14 seconds compared to offpeak nighttime conditions. The following observations provide context for peak period travel times:

- The travel time difference in segment travel times for each line indicates that the sources of delay
  are at the intersection rather than due to overall travel speeds on the segment.
- The K/T was observed to sit at a red light in most all p.m. peak hour observations, including as a
  result of waiting behind a left-turning vehicle and subsequently missing a green phase on multiple
  occasions. The average delay experienced is largely a result of delay behind left-turning vehicles
  and subsequent red-light delay. Although the p.m. peak hour average among observations is 30
  seconds, the maximum observed intersection travel time was 57 seconds, indicating wide
  variability. Like at the Brighton location, the K/T travels in the center-running track lane and is
  sometimes delayed by left-turning vehicles.
- The 29 turns left onto Plymouth Avenue at this intersection, so it is subject to the same operational delay and issues as the K/T. During the p.m. peak hour, the 29 was observed to miss its green phase multiple times, with a maximum intersection travel time of 99 seconds <u>attributed to resulting from</u> waiting for left-turning drivers (sitting through two red phases).

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

### Ocean Avenue and Geneva Avenue/Frida Kahlo Way

#### Eastbound

Table 5 provides average observed travel times in the eastbound direction for the K/T Third/Ingleside and the 29 Sunset.

#### Table 5: Transit Travel Time Delays Eastbound at Ocean Avenue/Frida Kahlo Way/Geneva Avenue

| Location   | Off-Peak Nighttime<br>Average Travel Time<br>(seconds) | Peak Average Travel<br>Time (seconds) | Difference in seconds |  |  |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| K/T Third/Ingleside  |  |                                       |                       |  |  |
| Segment travel time:<br>Lee to Frida Kahlo                       | 19   | 18                                    | ją.                   |  |  |
| Intersection travel<br>time: through Frida<br>Kahlo <sup>1</sup> | 39   | 53                                    | 14                    |  |  |
| 29 Sunset  | 29 Sunset  |                                       |                       |  |  |
| Segment travel time:<br>Lee to Frida Kahlo                       | 10   | 15                                    | 5                     |  |  |
| Intersection travel<br>time: through Frida<br>Kahlo              | 57   | 54                                    | -                     |  |  |

<sup>1</sup>.Includes dwell time

Note: Averages based on four K/T off-peak period observations, three off-peak period 29 <u>Sunset</u> observations, four peak period K/T observations, and eight peak period 29 <u>Sunset</u> observations.

Source: Kittelson, 2020.

As **Table 5** <u>indicateprovides</u>, the p.m. peak period travel time delays were relatively small based on the observations and data collection. The following observations provide context for travel times:

- During peak and off-peak periods, the K/T showed consistent travel times between Lee Avenue and the intersection (or back of queue).
- The 29 includes a near side bus stop between Harold and Geneva avenues. Following this stop, the bus driver must reenter the traffic stream to continue along Ocean Avenue. A combination of red lights, associated re-entry delay (with a green or red indication), and slow operating speeds through the intersection resulted in similar peak hour and off-peak average travel times for the 29.
- Observed p.m. peak hour intersection travel times were widely variable, ranging from 21 seconds to 82 seconds.
- The K/T line shares a travel lane with left-turning vehicles in a left-turn lane with a protected leftturn phase. Although drivers do not share a conflicting phase with crossing pedestrians and do not need to yield to oncoming traffic, the K/T (which continues straight) must wait behind left-turning

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

vehicles at a red light. In contrast, while adjacent through traffic has a green indication, delaying the line relative to if it was in an exclusive lane or a through lane.

### Westbound

Table 6 provides average observed travel times in the westbound direction for the K/T Third/Ingleside and the 29 Sunset.

#### Table 6: Transit Travel Time Delays Westbound at Ocean Avenue/Frida Kahlo Way/Geneva Avenue

| Location  | Off-Peak Nighttime<br>Average Travel Time<br>(seconds) | Peak Average Travel<br>Time (seconds) | Difference in seconds |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| K/T Third/Ingleside                                 |  |                                       |                       |
| Segment travel time:<br>Howth to Frida Kahlo        | 12   | 17                                    | 5                     |
| Intersection travel<br>time: through Frida<br>Kahlo | 11   | 49                                    | 38                    |
| 29 Sunset   |  |                                       | н<br>н                |
| Segment travel time:<br>Howth to Frida Kahlo        | 15   | 20                                    | 5                     |
| Intersection travel<br>time: through Frida<br>Kahlo | 8  | 66                                    | 58                    |

Note: Averages based on two K/T off-peak period observations, two off-peak period 29 <u>Sunset</u> observations, six peak period K/T observations, and 10 peak period 29 <u>Sunset</u> observations.

Source: Kittelson, 2020.

The following observations provide context for travel times:

- In the p.m. peak hour, the K/T experienced an average of 38 seconds of travel time delay compared to off-peak conditions. This delay was mostly a result of red-light delay and of queuing once the K/T left the separated track lane to the east of the intersection. No left turns are allowed from the center-running track lane, so the K/T was not observed to be waiting behind turning vehicles. Rather, the limited green time and the queuing present contributed to higher p.m. peak hour travel times.
- The 29 experienced 58 seconds of relative delay to travel through the intersection in p.m. peak hour conditions compared to in off-peak conditions. Observations noted that the 29 frequently queued in advance of the intersection, in some cases back to Howth Street. As a result of queueing, buses missed green signal phases and waited for an extra signal cycle; the maximum intersection travel time observed in the p.m. peak observations was 213 seconds.
- The 29 was observed to use the center-running track lane to bypass queuing on at least one occasion.

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

- This intersection is uniquely constrained compared to the other study intersections:
  - It serves multiple approaches with higher volumes than the Brighton and Plymouth intersections. This includes cross-street volumes and through and turning movement volumes along Ocean Avenue. Much of the delay recorded was observed to be a result of queuing, likely as a result of the allocation of green time to competing intersection approaches.
  - The intersection includes longer pedestrian crossings across Ocean Avenue (in excess of 80 feet) than the other intersections, requiring longer side-street pedestrian crossings phases and a longer signal cycle than the other locations.

These constraints reduce the ability to provide capital improvement solutions compared to the other locations in the study area.

#### Southbound

The 43 Masonic outbound <u>travels south</u> route along Geneva at this location from one of two shared leftthrough lanes on Frida Kahlo, across Ocean Avenue, and on to Geneva Avenue; this movement is signal <u>protected and</u> that does not include conflicting pedestrian or vehicle movements. As provided in Table 3.B-18 beginning on Response to Comments Section 4C pp. 4.C-40, Pproject-related- increase in vehicle traffic and passenger boarding/alighting activity would be associated with 82 seconds of travel time delay during the p.m. peak hour.<sup>10</sup> Travel time delay is attributable to passenger boarding activity, transit reentry time, and the level of vehicular traffic at the intersection and surrounding the Muni stop approximately 250 feet north of the intersection. The 2000 *Highway Capacity Manual* shows average bus re-entry delay into adjacent traffic streams to increase as a function of the adjacent lane hourly volumes, from an average of zero seconds with 100 vehicles to an average between four and six seconds at volumes between 400 and 600 vehicles per hour.<sup>11</sup> Data collected for this project show a southbound p.m. peak hour volume of 508 vehicles, which corresponds with an estimate of between four and six seconds of reentry delay in addition to delay at the intersection. As explained in the preceding section, this intersection is constrained by vehicle demand and pedestrian crossing lengths that limit possible signal timing solutions.

#### **Combined Delay**

Table 7 provides the recorded travel time delays presented above by line, direction, and location.

Table 7: P.M. Peak Hour Transit Travel Time Delays by Line

<sup>10</sup> Refer to Table 3.B-18 on p. 4.C-40 in the Balboa Reservoir SEIR Responses to Comments document.

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

San Francisco, California

Commented [EW3]: Should this be Frida Kahlo? Commented [SV4]: Missing verb in this sentence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> 2000 *Highway Capacity Manual,* Chapter 27, Exhibit 27-10. Reproduced in the "Public Transit" appendix of the *2019 San Francisco Transportation Impact Analysis Guidelines* and available at <a href="https://sfplanning.org/project/transportation-impact-analysis-guidelines-environmental-review-update#impact-analysis-guidelines">https://sfplanning.org/project/transportation-impact Analysis Guidelines</a> and available at <a href="https://sfplanning.org/project/transportation-impact-analysis-guidelines">https://sfplanning.org/project/transportation-impact Analysis Guidelines</a> and available at <a href="https://sfplanning.org/project/transportation-impact-analysis-guidelines">https://sfplanning.org/project/transportation-impact Analysis Guidelines</a> and available at <a href="https://sfplanning.org/project/transportation-impact-analysis-guidelines">https://sfplanning.org/project/transportation-impact-analysis-guidelines</a>

| Balboa Reservoir Supplemental EIR |
|-----------------------------------|
| March 27, 2020                    |

| Pa | ge | 1 | 4 |
|----|----|---|---|
|    |    |   |   |

| Location   | Eastbound | Westbound |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| K/T Third/Ingleside                                  | n.        |           |
| Intersection travel time:<br>through Plymouth Avenue | 14        | 25        |
| Intersection travel time:<br>through Brighton Avenue | 26        | 20        |
| Intersection travel time:<br>through Frida Kahlo Way | 14        | 38        |
| Total Combined Delay                                 | 54        | 83        |
| 29 Sunset  | •         | •         |
| Segment Travel Time: Lee to<br>Brighton              | n/a       | 11        |
| Intersection travel time:<br>through Brighton Avenue | 16        | 30        |
| Intersection travel time:<br>through Plymouth        | -         | 30        |
| Intersection travel time:<br>through Frida Kahlo Way | -         | 58        |
| Total Combined Delay                                 | 16        | 129       |

Source: Kittelson, 2020.

### **RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS**

The following improvements are recommended to reduce transit travel times in the study area and are displayed in **Construct a** bus boarding island on southbound Frida Kahlo Way.

Figure 2. These proposed improvements require approval by the SFMTA and are subject to review by relevant rail oversight authorities.

- Providing a protected green arrow signal phase for westbound left turns at Ocean Avenue/Brighton Avenue;
- Prohibit eastbound left turns at Ocean Avenue/Brighton Avenue;
- Providing a protected green arrow signal phase for westbound left turns at Ocean Avenue/Plymouth Avenue;
- Prohibit eastbound left turns at Ocean Avenue/Plymouth Avenue; and
- Construct a bus boarding island on southbound Frida Kahlo Way.

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

Balboa Reservoir Supplemental EIR March 27, 2020

#### Figure 2: Recommended Improvements to Reduce Transit Travel Times



Source: Google Earth.

#### Ocean Avenue/Brighton Avenue

#### Eastbound: Prohibit Left Turns

At Ocean and Brighton avenues, prohibiting eastbound left turns would provide dual benefit to transit operations. It would eliminate transit delay for the K/T associated with waiting behind left-turning vehicles, which was observed to result in missing green phases. A left turn prohibition would also provide more through volume capacity and would give through drivers the ability to choose a lane rather than to proceed in the right lane. This would benefit the 29 as well, whose drivers would either travel in a right lane with fewer vehicles or could also use the left lane to travel through the intersection if right-turning vehicles are yielding to crossing pedestrians.

Implementing this improvement would improve reliability for the K/T and 29 and could reduce p.m. peak hour travel time delay compared to off-peak travel times by up to 26 and 16 seconds, respectively. There is no guarantee that the improvement would reduce delay by the full amounts observed, given there are other sources of transit delay, although it is a reasonable approximation of travel time savings.

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

San Francisco, California

Page 15

#### Westbound: Provide Protected/Permissive Left Turn Phasing

At Ocean and Brighton avenues, providing a protected green arrow left turn phase would allow leftturning vehicles a dedicated portion of the signal phase and would reduce delay for the K/T associated with waiting behind those left-turning vehicles. This improvement would improve reliability for the K/T and could reduce delay by up to 20 seconds. There is no guarantee that the improvement would reduce delay by the full amounts observed, as the K/T line would need to wait for a turning vehicle to clear even though the movement would be more reliable with a protected/permissive phase, <u>although it is a</u> <u>reasonable approximation of travel time savings</u>.

### Ocean Avenue/Plymouth Avenue

#### Eastbound: Prohibit Left Turns

At Ocean and Plymouth avenues, prohibiting eastbound left turns would provide dual benefit to transit operations. It would eliminate transit delay for the K/T associated with waiting behind left-turning vehicles, which was observed to result in missing green phases. A left turn prohibition would also provide more through volume capacity and would give through drivers the ability to choose a lane rather than to proceed in the right lane. This improvement would improve reliability for both lines and could reduce delay for the K/T by up to 14 seconds. There is no guarantee that the improvement would reduce delay by the full amounts observed, given there are other sources of transit delay, although it is a reasonable approximation of travel time savings.

#### Westbound: Provide Protected/Permissive Left Turn Phasing

At Ocean and Brighton avenues, providing a protected/permissive left turn phase would allow leftturning vehicles a dedicated portion of the signal phase and would reduce delay for the K/T associated with waiting behind those left-turning vehicles. This improvement would improve reliability for the K/T. This benefit would also accrue to the 29, which turns left at the intersection and is subject to the same travel delays. This improvement would improve reliability for both lines and could reduce delay for the K/T by up to 25 seconds and for the 29 by up to 30 seconds. There is no guarantee that the improvement would reduce delay by the full amounts observed, as the K/T and 29 lines would need to wait for a turning vehicle to clear even though the movement would be more reliable with a protected/permissive phase<u>a</u> **although it is a reasonable approximation of travel time savings**.

#### Frida Kahlo Way/ Ocean Avenue/Geneva Avenue

#### Southbound: Transit Boarding Island

The improvement identified to improve transit operations at this intersection is the addition of a transit boarding island along the southbound approach of Frida Kahlo Way. A transit boarding island would be an extension of the sidewalk at the location of the stop that would reduce passenger boarding and re-

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

San Francisco, California

Commented [SV5]: Are Sidewalk extensions really called "Boarding Islands"? From a lay person's perspective, a boarding island is in the middle of the street with vehicular lanes on both sides.

LWhite: Maybe we should refer to it as a sidewalk extension? https://www.sfbetterstreets.org/design-guidelines/transit-stops/ entry delay associated with accessing the bus stop. The SFMTA Transportation Engineering *Transit Preferential Toolkit* identifies that transit boarding islands typically reduce passenger boarding/alighting delay by an average of five seconds and reduce re-entry delay by an average of five seconds. This location may be associated with more passenger boarding/alighting delay than five seconds. The boarding island would reduce transit reentry delay, which is estimated to be up to <u>X seconds</u>, which would in turn allow Muni buses better access to the signal, potentially reducing delay at the intersection by proceeding through on more green signals or getting better position in a queue.

### Conclusion

The recommended improvements further refine the capital measures identified as part of <u>SEIR's</u> **Project Mitigation Measure M-C-TR-4:** Monitor Cumulative Transit Travel Times and Implement Measures to **Reduce Transit Delay**<sup>12</sup>.

### SECONDARY EFFECTS OF IMPLEMENTING IMPROVEMENTS

The following describes the secondary construction and operational effects of implementing the improvements identified above.

#### Construction

Construction of to implement the identified capital improvements would include the following:

iInstallation of signage for the prohibited left turns at both Brighton and Plymouth avenues and the result of the

• <u>i</u>Installation of additional signal heads, possibly including a new mast arm, to provide protected/permissive phasing in the eastbound direction at Plymouth and Brighton avenues.

These is level of construction activities would cause temporary disruption to existing operating conditions and may include temporary sidewalk and lane closures. -Construction activities would be similar to those evaluated as part of the DEIR and and would require compliance with the public works code, public works department orders, and the blue book, as applicable, to minimize theso that potential-forly hazardous conditions or substantial public transit delay would not occur-a logistics plan to ensure continued service is provided for all travel modes and road users in the interim.

<sup>12</sup> Originally entitled Project Mitigation Measure M-C-TR-4: **Monitor Cumulative Transit Travel Times and Implement Measures to Reduce Transit Delay** in the DEIR, the title of the mitigation measure was amended as part of the Responses to Comments document.

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

San Francisco, California

Formatted: Highlight

Page 17

Formatted: Normal

### General Effect to Vehicle Traffic

The reliability and delay reduction benefits described for transit vehicles along Ocean Avenue as a result of the proposed changes would also accrue to general traffic along Ocean Avenue. Anticipated effects are discussed below:

- For westbound left-turning drivers at Plymouth and Brighton avenues, a protected/permissive
  phase provides dedicated time to make the left turn separated from oncoming traffic or
  pedestrians. <u>This It</u> would also <u>reduce the number of conflicts between people driving and walking
  through provide a safety benefit with the provision of the protected movement.
  </u>
- The westbound protected left-turn phase would occupy a share of green time and would result in a
  decrease in green time of a few seconds to other phases.
- For eastbound through drivers along Ocean Avenue, the prohibition of left turns at Plymouth and Brighton avenues would improve travel times and reliability, eliminating instances of delay from waiting behind a left-turning vehicle.

Effects on left-turning drivers at Plymouth or Brighton avenues are discussed in the <u>General Effect on</u> Circulation section below.

#### General Effect to Pedestrian-Benefitss

The Ocean Avenue/Plymouth Avenue intersection serves many pedestrians in the p.m. peak hour. These pedestrians share a signal phase with the parallel Ocean Avenue movements, including—the right-turn<sub>7</sub> and left-turn movements. The shared vehicle turning movements and pedestrian crossings create a conflict between road users and contributes travel time delay for turning drivers yielding to pedestrians. The two intersections with recommended improvements serve the following number of pedestrians:

- Ocean Avenue/Brighton Avenue: 442 pedestrians across north leg, 278 pedestrians across south leg in the weekday p.m. peak hour (5-7 p.m.; see Appendix A)
- Ocean Avenue/Plymouth Avenue: 349 pedestrians across north leg, 152 pedestrians across south leg in the weekday p.m. peak hour (5-7 p.m.; see Appendix A)

For pedestrians crossing the north legs of these intersections, conflicting left-turning vehicles would be eliminated. For pedestrians crossing the south legs of these intersections, conflicts with left-turning drivers would be reduced. Separating the left-turning conflicts provides a safety benefit to pedestrians crossing Plymouth and Brighton avenues.

#### General Effect on Circulation

The identified improvements would have the following anticipated effect on circulation within the study area:

The prohibition of eastbound left turns at Ocean and Plymouth avenues. Prohibiting this movement would redistribute the 11 left-turning drivers currently making this movement in the p.m. peak hour.

Kittelson & Associates, Inc.

San Francisco, California

Commented [EW6]: I ended up removing the word benefit – it did not seem neutral and then revised the text to focus on reduction of conflicts between people walking and vehicle turning movements.

Page 18

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

| March 2            | 77, 2020 Page 19   |            |   |
|--------------------|--|------------|---|
|                    | e drivers would have the following options (see <b>Construct a</b> bus boarding island on southbound Frida<br>Way.   |            |   |
| •                  | Figure 2):   |            | Formatted: Normal   |
| •                  | Turn left at Faxon, Miramar, or Granada avenues in advance of the Plymouth Avenue<br>intersection; or<br>Turn right at Granada Avenue, left to Holloway Avenue, and then left at Plymouth Avenue, left<br>at Ocean Avenue, and right at Plymouth Avenue, adding approximately 1,700 feet of diversion<br>to their trip.  |            |   |
| T                  | •  |            | <b>Formatted:</b> Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets or numbering   |
| · · · ·            | he prohibition of eastbound left turns at Ocean and Brighton avenues <del>. Prohibiting this movement</del> ,<br>ould redistribute the 39 left   |            | Formatted: Font: Not Bold   |
|                    |  | 1.1        | Formatted: Font:  |
|                    | urning drivers currently making this movement in the p.m. peak hour. These drivers would have  | ******     | Formatted: Font:  |
| th<br>follow       | ie<br>wing options (see <b>Construct a</b> bus boarding island on southbound Frida Kahlo Way.  |            | Formatted: Font:  |
|                    | igure 2);  | العربي الم | Formatted: Font:  |
| N                  | Turn right at Plymouth, Granada, or Miramar avenues, left at Brighton Avenue, and through at<br>Ocean Avenue, adding approximately 1,700 feet of diversion to their trip. Transit travel time<br>and reliability benefits accrue to general traffic.<br>ote that Brighton Avenue provides vehicular access to the Whole Foods parking deck and to<br>valon Ocean Avenue residential parking. |            | Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.25", No bullets<br>or numbering   |
| voulc              | prohibitions would redistribute approximately the number of left-turning trips presented above and<br>d increase the traffic on the relevant local streets by an amount commensurate to the existing<br>ound left-turn volumes.  |            |   |
| he c               | apital improvements and potential diversions discussed above would not be expected to create   |            |   |
|                    | cts or delays to existing transit operations and would not create safety hazards to people walking,  |            |   |
|                    | the redistribution of vehicles trips would be anticipated to occur on  |            |   |
|                    | buth, Granada, Miramar, Brighton, and Holloway avenues, which -are stop controlled, low-speed  |            |   |
| 1992 - 19 March 19 | roads that can accommodate the marginal increase in trips.   | in a start | <b>Commented [EW7]:</b> Kittelson, please let me know if this is wrong or should be modified.   |
| new                | apital improvements and potential diversions discussed above would not be expected to introduce<br>conflicts or delays to existing transit operations and would not create potentially hazardous<br>tions for people walking, biking, driving, or public transit operations.   |            | Commented [EW8]: I swapped the order of these sentences<br>and I deleted the line about the roadways having the capacity – I'm<br>not quite sure that makes the argument about there being no new<br>hazardous conditions introduced. I added text about these<br>intersections where the additional vehicles will go as being stop<br>controlled – Mike, would you please confirm or suggest further<br>revisions if need be? Thank you! |

APPENDIX A: MULTIMODAL TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS

APPENDIX B: PEAK HOUR DATA COLLECTION

APPENDIX C: OFF-PEAK (NIGHTTIME) DATA COLLECTION

APPENDIX D: HISTORICAL SFMTA MUNI TRAVEL TIMES